

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,490	10/789,490 02/26/2004 Seiji Miura		04120/LH	7996	
1933 7	590 05/16/2006	05/16/2006 EXAMINER			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			GRANT, ROBERT J		
220 Fifth Aven 16TH Floor	ue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	NY 10001-7708		2838	. <u>-</u> . <u>-</u>	

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Δ
	$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$
	11/
	ľ
	w
•	, ~

Applicant(s)	
MIURA ET AL.	
Art Unit	
2838	

Advisory Action	10/789,490	MIURA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert Grant	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•			
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Officte of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		during an airealifican	the incurs for		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ne issues ioi		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		mpliant Amondment (DTOL 324)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi	Il be entered and an e	explanation of		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-8.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ied.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)			
	· _ i				
	$\left\langle \cdot \right\rangle$	ADI CACCIONA			
	SUPERVISO	AHLEASTHOM ORY PATENT EXAN	MINER		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Arguments surrounding claim 8 are not found to be persuasive. Peterzell meet the limitations of the claimed invention, switch 30 is opened to prevent further charging when overcharge is detected. And when charge is required the switch is closed to allow charge to the battery..